

in the **ADDRESSES** section of this document. FAA Order 7400.11E lists Class A, B, C, D, and E airspace areas, air traffic service routes, and reporting points.

The Proposal

The FAA is proposing an amendment to 14 CFR part 71 by amending the Class E airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface to within a 6.7-mile (increased from a 6.6-mile) radius of Marana Regional Airport, Marana, AZ; adding an extension 3.8 miles each side of the 031° bearing from the airport extending from the 6.7-mile radius to 15.3 miles northeast of the airport; adding an extension 3.4 miles each side of the 330° bearing from the airport extending from the 6.7-mile radius to 12.7 miles northwest of the airport; updating the header of the airspace legal description to “Marana, AZ” (previously “Marana Regional, AZ”) to coincide with the FAA’s aeronautical database; updating the name of the airport (previously Marana Regional) to coincide with the FAA’s aeronautical database; and removing the exclusionary language as it is no longer required.

This action is the result of an airspace review caused by the decommissioning of the Marana NDB which provided navigation information for the instrument procedures at this airport. Class E airspace designations are published in paragraph 6005 of FAA Order 7400.11E, dated July 21, 2020, and effective September 15, 2020, which is incorporated by reference in 14 CFR 71.1. The Class E airspace designations listed in this document will be published subsequently in the Order.

FAA Order 7400.11, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, is published yearly and effective on September 15.

Regulatory Notices and Analyses

The FAA has determined that this regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current, is non-controversial and unlikely to result in adverse or negative comments. It, therefore: (1) Is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a “significant rule” under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that will only affect air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this rule, when

promulgated, would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

Environmental Review

This proposal will be subject to an environmental analysis in accordance with FAA Order 1050.1F, “Environmental Impacts: Policies and Procedures” prior to any FAA final regulatory action.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Airspace, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (air).

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend 14 CFR part 71 as follows:

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF CLASS A, B, C, D, AND E AIRSPACE AREAS; AIR TRAFFIC SERVICE ROUTES; AND REPORTING POINTS

■ 1. The authority citation for 14 CFR part 71 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(f), 106(g); 40103, 40113, 40120; E.O. 10854, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959–1963 Comp., p. 389.

§ 71.1 [Amended]

■ 2. The incorporation by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 of FAA Order 7400.11E, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated July 21, 2020, and effective September 15, 2020, is amended as follows:

Paragraph 6005 Class E Airspace Areas Extending Upward From 700 Feet or More Above the Surface of the Earth.

* * * * *

AWP AZ E5 Marana, AZ [Amended]

Marana Regional Airport, AZ
(Lat. 32°24′34″ N, long. 111°13′06″ W.)

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 6.7-mile radius of the Marana Regional Airport; and within 3.8 miles each side of the 031° bearing from the airport extending from the 6.7-mile radius from the airport to 15.3 miles northeast of the airport; and within 3.4 miles each side of the 330° from the airport extending from the 6.7-mile radius from the airport to 12.7 miles northwest of the airport.

Issued in Fort Worth, Texas, on July 22, 2021.

Martin A. Skinner,

*Acting Manager, Operations Support Group,
ATO Central Service Center.*

[FR Doc. 2021–15939 Filed 7–27–21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

20 CFR Parts 404 and 416

[Docket No. SSA–2018–0026]

RIN 0960–AI27

Rules Regarding the Frequency and Notice of Continuing Disability Reviews; Withdrawal

AGENCY: Social Security Administration.

ACTION: Proposed rule; withdrawal.

SUMMARY: We are withdrawing the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM), Rules Regarding the Frequency and Notice of Continuing Disability Reviews, published in the **Federal Register** on November 18, 2019.

DATES: The proposed rule, published at 84 FR 63588, November 18, 2019, identified in this document is withdrawn as of July 28, 2021.

ADDRESSES: Office of Regulations and Reports Clearance, Social Security Administration, 3100 West High Rise Building, 6401 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, Maryland 21235–6401.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael J. Goldstein, Director, Office of Medical Policy, Social Security Administration, 6401 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, MD 21235–6401, (410) 965–1020. For information on eligibility or filing for benefits, call our national toll-free number, 1–800–772–1213, or TTY 1–800–325–0778, or visit our internet site, Social Security Online, at <http://www.socialsecurity.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On November 18, 2019, we proposed to revise our regulations regarding when and how often we conduct continuing disability reviews (CDR), which are periodic reviews of eligibility required for benefit continuation.¹ The proposed rules would have added a category to the existing medical diary categories that we use to schedule CDRs, and would have revised the criteria for assigning each of the medical diary categories to cases. The proposed rules would also have changed the frequency with which we perform a CDR for claims involving permanent impairments.

In this proposed rule, we provided a 60-day comment period, which we extended for 15 days, concluding on January 31, 2020.² We received 125,552 comments during the comment cycle.³

¹ 84 FR 63588 (November 18, 2019).

² 84 FR 67394 (December 10, 2019).

³ The comments are available for public viewing at www.regulations.gov by searching Docket No. SSA–2018–0026.

The total comment count reflects electronic submissions through the eRulemaking portals at the Office of the Federal Register and *Regulations.gov*, as well as emailed, mailed, and faxed comments. We did not make 181 comments available. These 181 comments were submitted after the comment period closed; included personally identifiable information or profanity; were unrelated to the rulemaking subject matter; or were submitted by individuals commenting in their capacity as Social Security Administration (SSA) employees.

The Office of Management and Budget conducted 11 listening sessions under the authority of Executive Order (E.O.) 12866 during December 2020 and January 2021 for interested stakeholders, many of whom also provided thoughtful and relevant comments during the NPRM comment period. We appreciate all the commenters who provided thoughtful feedback on their analysis of, and concerns about, the proposed rule.

Withdrawal of the Proposed Rule

After considering the submitted comments and further feedback provided in the listening sessions, we are withdrawing the proposed rule, Rules Regarding the Frequency and Notice of Continuing Disability Reviews (84 FR 63588, November 18, 2019) (RIN 0960-AI27). We noted our intent to withdraw the proposed rule in our Spring 2021 Unified Agenda of Regulatory and Deregulatory Actions.⁴

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Nos. 96.001, Social Security Disability Insurance; 96.002, Social Security Retirement Insurance; 96.004, Social Security Survivors Insurance; 96.006, Supplemental Security Income).

List of Subjects

20 CFR Part 404

Administrative practice and procedure, Blind, Disability benefits, Old-age, Survivors and Disability insurance, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Social security.

20 CFR Part 416

Administrative practice and procedure, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Social security, Supplemental Security Income (SSI).

The Acting Commissioner of the Social Security Administration, Kilolo Kijakazi, having reviewed and approved this document, is delegating the

authority to electronically sign this document to Faye I. Lipsky, who is the primary Federal Register Liaison for SSA, for purposes of publication in the **Federal Register**.

Faye I. Lipsky,

Federal Register Liaison, Office of Legislation and Congressional Affairs, Social Security Administration.

[FR Doc. 2021-15896 Filed 7-27-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 117

[Docket No. USCG-2021-0332]

RIN 1625-AA09

Drawbridge Operation Regulation; Indiana Harbor Canal, East Chicago, IN

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard proposes to modify the operating schedule that governs the Indianapolis Boulevard Bridge, mile 2.59, over the Indiana Harbor Canal at East Chicago, IN. Indiana Department of Transportation, the owner and operator of the bridge, has requested to stop continual drawtender service to the bridge due to a lack of openings. We invite your comments on this proposed rulemaking. **DATES:** Comments and related material must reach the Coast Guard on or before September 27, 2021.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments identified by docket number USCG-2021-0332 using Federal e-Rulemaking Portal at <https://www.regulations.gov>.

See the “Public Participation and Request for Comments” portion of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section below for instructions on submitting comments.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions on this proposed rule, call or email. If you have questions on this proposed rule, call or email: Mr. Lee D. Soule, Bridge Management Specialist, Ninth Coast Guard District; telephone 216-902-6085, email Lee.D.Soule@uscg.mil.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Table of Abbreviations

CFR Code of Federal Regulations
DHS Department of Homeland Security
FR Federal Register
IGLD85 International Great Lakes Datum of 1985
INDOT Indiana Department of Transportation

LWD Low Water Datum based on IGLD85
OMB Office of Management and Budget
NPRM Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (Advance, Supplemental)
§ Section USACE United States Army Corps of Engineers
U.S.C. United States Code
USEPA United States Environmental Protection Agency

II. Background, Purpose and Legal Basis

The Indianapolis Boulevard Bridge, mile 2.59, over the Indiana Harbor Canal is a double leaf bascule bridge that provides a horizontal clearance of 68-feet and a vertical clearance of 12-feet in the closed position with an unlimited vertical clearance in the open position. The Indianapolis Boulevard Bridge, mile 2.59, over the Indiana Harbor Canal is required to open on signal and there are no previous rulemakings for this bridge to discuss. The Indiana Harbor Canal is a 3-mile long commercial waterway that serves several industries near the city of East Chicago, Indiana including the largest integrated steelmaking facility in North America and the 1,400 acre Whiting Refinery that includes the former 1889 Standard Oil of Indiana refinery at the head of navigation. The Indianapolis Boulevard Bridge, mile 2.59, over the Indiana Harbor Canal is the last drawbridge before the head of navigation; once the 1889 Standard Oil of Indiana refinery was torn down the bridge lost its purpose for regular openings and the waterway silted in around the bridge preventing vessels from approaching. Approximately thirty years after the removal of the refinery the USEPA and USACE partnered to remove polluted sediments from the waterway and established a contaminated dredge spoils area above the bridge. The EPA and USACE contracted dredging company is working a few weeks each season and is the only commercial vessel requesting the bridge to open. There are no records of recreational vessels using the Indiana Harbor Canal.

III. Discussion of Proposed Rule

The only vessel that has requested an opening at the Indianapolis Boulevard Bridge, mile 2.59, over the Indiana Harbor Canal in thirty years has been the dredging contractor, and their work schedule is limited to a few weeks a year due to migratory wildlife concerns in the summer and ice formation in the winter. INDOT has agreed that a drawtender will be assigned to the bridge to accommodate vessel traffic if a 12-hour advance notice is provided.

⁴ Our Unified Agenda of Regulatory and Deregulatory Actions is available on [Reginfo.gov](https://www.reginfo.gov) and can be accessed at <https://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/eAgendaMain>.